Cardiac rehabilitation protocols outcome in frail patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation
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Abstract
The degenerative aortic stenosis (AS) is the most frequent valvular disease in the elderly (over 75 years), and its severe form is found in 12.4% associated with a low survival rate at 5 years (15-50%). These patients are categorised as frail and the only possible choice of treatment is transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI). When cardiac rehabilitation programs (CRP) were introduced after TAVI, they had a positive impact on clinical outcome, increasing the quality of life and decreasing morbidity and mortality, especially in the elderly with high frailty score.

This review underlines the utility of objective and functional frailty assessment in elderly patients with severe AS, before and after TAVI. Inclusion of these patients in complex and individually designed CRP could improve both QoL and short and long term outcome. Apart from exercise recommendation, a complete and ideal CRP should include nutrition counselling, occupational therapy, and psychological counselling to ensure psychosocial health, as well as social worker counselling. CRP could prevent and reduce severity of frailty by improving/increasing mobility, muscle mass and cognitive function. Further studies should aim at appraising long-term effects and prognostic relevance of CRP in frail patients undergoing TAVI.
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Introduction
In the past decade, over 100,000 patients worldwide underwent TAVI. The choice of TAVI against surgically aortic valve replacement (sAVR) was driven by increasing evidence of better outcome in frail patients, as showed in the PARTNER-B study [7]. Moreover, when cardiac rehabilitation programs (CRP) were introduced after TAVI, they had a positive impact on clinical outcome, increasing the quality of life and decreasing morbidity and mortality, especially in the elderly with high frailty score [6].

Thus, the present article aims at reviewing the means of assessing frailty and the benefits of cardiac rehabilitation in frail patients who undergone TAVI.

Frailty assessment methods
Frailty is recognized as a biological syndrome, present in about 5% of the population aged 60 and over, which predicts the risk of adverse outcomes [8]. It is associated with a multistystem decline in the physiological reserve and increased vulnerability to stressors, resulting in an increased risk of adverse outcomes such as disability, hospitalization and death [5]. There is no consensus regarding frailty assessment. Many different ways to evaluate frailty have been reported, each scale evaluating different domains: deficit accumulation, function and/or
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biology [9]. The Frailty Index (FI) has been developed on the concept of deficit accumulation - a combination of symptoms, diseases, conditions and disability [10], while the biological model known as the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) scale is based on five components - weight loss, exhaustion, low energy expenditure [11]. FI results could be improved by adding Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE), Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA), Activities of Daily Living (ADL), Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL), Timed Up and Go (TUG) and a subjective mobility disability (defined as a decreased frequency of walking 200m and/or of climbing stairs). Both CHS and FI models are difficult to use in clinical practice because they require performance measurement (for CHS - walking speed, adhesion, strength, and more than 40 components for the FI, for example: knowledge, performance, physical etc.) [12]. Another scale proposed in the study of osteoporotic fractures - the SOF scale, - includes a functional factor and two biological factors: - the inability to lift five times from a chair without the use of arms, weight loss and low energy [13]. Although it requires measurement of performance, it is short and easy to operate with it in the clinic. The FRAIL scale is a combination of functional, deficit accumulation, and biological frailty models [14]. It requires a minimal administration time, and it can be easily used in clinical practice and can predicts negative health outcomes. Frail patients also have a poor life quality as evaluated by quality of life (QoL) questionnaire [15].

A large multicentric trial regarding frailty in old patients undergoing TAVI is FRAILTY-AVR [16]. It included 1020 patients (14 centers, 3 countries) evaluated by multiple frailty scales. The results showed that the prevalence of frailty ranged between 28% and 68%, depending on the frailty scale. The frailty assessment added incremental value to classic pre- and post-operative risk-scores. At one year after TAVI, more than 50% of patients initially categorized as frail suffered functional decline and had higher mortality rates. Another study derived from the OCEAN-TAVI Japanese multicenter registry investigated the gait speed (m/sec) in 1256 patients who underwent TAVI. They proved that gait speed is an independent negative prognosticis marker, correlating with increased mortality after the procedure.

Assessment methods of the cardiac rehabilitation protocols outcome (advantages and disadvantages)

Various assessment methods of CRP were studied in literature. They are divided in three great domains: exercise tolerance evaluation, functional independence and QoL measurements. The exercise tolerance may be evaluated by 6 minutes walking test (6MWT), by functional impedance or by maximal exercise capacity (work load). 6MWT is a simple functional test known to correlate significantly with NYHA class, quality of life and mortality, especially in advanced heart failure patients [17]. An interesting, positive correlation was found between 6MWT performance and BMI higher than 30 kg/m² [18]. This correlation, even paradoxical, is explained by our previous results showing that obese patients often have a better outcome, even though they are more prone to cardiac dysfunction and cardiovascular diseases [19], [20]. Maximal exercise capacity or physical capacity may be evaluated by using cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) with a cycle ergometer following standardised protocols, as described recently by Sibilitz et al [21]. For functional independence evaluation the Barthel Index (BI) is frequently used. BI is an autonomy index. That uses a scale of 0–100 to rate the degree of independence in activities of daily living, where 0 is total dependence and 100 is total independence [22]. As an alternative, functional independence measure (FIM) assesses physical and cognitive disability and encompasses several items necessary for daily function [23]. The score ranges between 18 and 126, with higher scores indicating more independence. In the same range, the hospital and anxiety depression scale (HADS) is a fourteen item scale with seven items relating to anxiety and seven to depression, with higher numbers indicating more distress. Quality of life may be assessed using QoL scales, as described previously [15]. Examples of such scales are Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ) and the Medical Outcomes Study 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-12). The KCCQ is a 23-item, self-administered questionnaire evaluating physical and social function, and symptom severity. The SF-12 consists of 12 questions referring to different aspects of physical and mental function and generating 2 summary scores [24].
Cardiac rehabilitation protocols recommended after TAVI and the effect on outcome

Many international rehabilitation societies such as The International British and Canadian Associations for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation, American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation, or the European Association of Preventive Cardiology, have established guidelines to ensure a benefit from CRP [25]. There are general recommendations regarding:

1. Aerobic exercise, outdoor walking, treadmill exercises or riding a stationary bicycle (30-60 minutes, 3-5 times per week aiming a 50%-80% of the HR achieved in exercise testing);
2. Dynamic resistance exercise using progressive elastic bands (2-3 times per week, intensity of 10-15 repetitions, 1-3 sets for upper and lower extremities);
3. Isometric exercise is generally not recommended, because it might increase the blood pressure [25].

ACC 2017 Guidelines recommend early mobilization, immediately after TAVI and “cardiac rehabilitation and promotion of physical activity as appropriate”, on long term follow-up [26]. European guidelines recommend (Class IB) that post valve surgery exercise training can be started early in-hospital, with CRP consisting of “exercise training individually tailored according to the baseline exercise capacity, ventricular function, and different valve surgery” and should last 2–4 weeks for in-patient or up to 12 weeks for out-patient settings clinical condition [27].

An elegant meta-analysis published recently by Tamuleviciute-Prasciene E. et al [28] evaluated 2623 articles in valvular patients, among which 61 focused on frailty and only 12 on CRP with exercise-based protocols (??) clearly described in the methods. Some of the studies were carried out in order to assess the safety and the beneficial effects of various types of CRP protocols in patients undergoing TAVI [7], [29], [30], [31], [18]. According to Tamuleviciute-Prasciene E. et al [18] different exercise training programs applied in elderly patients after surgery/intervention were safe and effective in improving functional and physical capacity, QoL and independence in daily life activities. In frail patients with severe AS, before and after TAVI, exercise-based programs increased gait speed and improved balance and performance in activities of daily life [28]. In conclusion, after this vast literature review, apart from exercise recommendation, a complete and ideal CRP should include nutrition counselling, occupational therapy, and psychological counselling to ensure psychosocial health, and social worker counselling [32]. As for the settings of CRP, beside specialised center supervision, smart homes and distance monitored CRP could be implemented, lowering the cost of the procedures [33].

CRP proved to be a safe and an effective therapeutic choice for the patients undergoing TAVI, regardless the type of exercise recommended [31]. For example, Fauchere et al. in a retrospective study, evaluated a CRP consisting of “supervised gymnastics, aerobic and respiratory workout sessions” of a low/medium intensity, 2–3 times per day, 6 days per week [34]. Even though, the patients that undergone TAVI were older and sicker than the patients that undergone surgery, they have similar benefits assessed by FIM, 6MWT and HADS [34]. Later, Russo et al tested another CPR consisting of “30 min of respiratory workout, followed by an aerobic session on a cycllette in the morning and, in the afternoon, 30 min of callisthenic exercises”, of a low/medium intensity, 6 days per week [35]. The outcome was evaluated using 6MWT, BI and CPET. The results showed that professional supervised CRP enhances independence, mobility and functional capacity and should be encouraged in all patients.

Eicher et al. conducted a prospective cohort multicentric study on 136 patients who were referred to multicomponent three-week cardiac rehabilitation programme in one of the three participating cardiac rehabilitation centers. Patients were stratified into groups according to maximal exercise capacity at initial exercise testing: <1.0 W/kg and >1.0 W/kg. The CRP consisted of ergometer endurance training five times per week, average bicycle training sessions lasting about 30 min. Patients with a higher physical capacity (>1.0 W/kg) were recommended additional strength training at 30–50% at their one repetition maximum on common weigh machine. When considered appropriate, outdoor walking, aqua or spinal gymnastics, in groups were added to physical therapy [18]. As a result of CRP the number of frail patients was significantly reduced by 9% (from 36.9% to 27.9%). The overall FI decreased by 0.4 points, and significant changes in cognition parameters (MMSE), nutrition (MNA), and subjective mobility disability and mobility (TUG) were observed. QoL improved significantly and
anxiety was decreased. None of the quality parameters influenced the prognostic.

**Conclusion**

This review underlines the utility of objective and functional frailty assessment in elder patients with severe AS, before and after TAVI. Inclusion of these patients into complex and individually designed CRP could improve both QoL and short and long term outcome. CRP could prevent and reduce severity of frailty by improving mobility, muscle mass and cognitive function. Further studies should aim at appraising long-term effects and prognostic relevance of CRP in frail patients undergoing TAVI.
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