SIS therapy in the treatment of distal radius epiphyseal fracture (Case report)
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INTRODUCTION
The radius is a long, paired bone located on the side of the forearm, on the side of the ulna and on the thumb. It has a body and two epiphyses. The distal or inferior epiphysis is much larger (1-3). The fracture of the distal extremity of the radius is one of the most common fractures of the upper limb. Among the most important causes of fracture can be listed the important traumas, if we talk about the general active population or in the elderly population in minimal trauma due to osteoporosis. Among the adjuvant therapies for orthopedic treatment of distal radius epiphyseal fracture we can list Super Inductive System (SIS), a therapy based on the interaction between the electric field and the human body with the improvement of the healing process by acting on the pathophysiological stages of bone callus.

Material and method. A clinical case study was performed on a 28-year-old patient, hospitalized and treated in the neurosurgery department of the Constanta County and Emergency Hospital for a polytrauma by road accident (passenger) with amielotic cervical trauma, thoracic trauma and trauma to the right upper limb, subsequently performing 12 SIS therapy sessions at the Balneal and Rehabilitation Sanatorium of Techirghiol. CT examination of the cervical spine reveals fractures of C4 vertebra (the blade and pedicle) and C5 vertebra (vertebral body, lamina and pedicle). Right forearm radiography reveals fracture of the right radial styloid. After conservative treatment of the cervical injury and orthopedic treatment of the upper limb injury, the clinical evolution is favorable, allowing the patient to be discharged and allowed to do 12 sessions of SIS therapy, 3 times a week, within 4 weeks. The subsequent clinical and paraclinical evolution was favorable for the outpatient orthopedic ambulatory reevaluation performed at 5 weeks.

Results and discussions. Due to the type of fracture of the radial distal epiphysis (linear fracture without displacement), absence of comorbidities and young age, led to the indication of orthopedic treatment with immobilization in the antebrachio-palmar cast, which allowed subsequent physiotherapy.
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Material and method. We present the case of a 28-year-old patient, without significant personal pathological history, who is hospitalized in the neurosurgery department of the Constanta County Emergency Hospital for a polytrauma due to a road accident (passenger) with amelic cervical trauma, thoracic trauma and right upper limb trauma. Clinically at hospitalization the patient is conscious, cooperative, Glasgow Scale GCS = 15, with no signs of meningeal irritation, no cranial nerve deficiencies, no motor deficits (upper right limb difficult to examine in the context of functional impotence and edema due to fracture of the distal radius), without sensitivity disorders, ROT present symmetrically bilateral, RCP in flexion, bilateral with neck pain and pain in the right upper limb (distal extremity). Examination of the native craniocerebral computed tomograph does not reveal post-traumatic intracranial lesions. The cervical spine CT scan shows fracture lines without displacement of the lamina and the right pedicle at the level of the C4 vertebra (fig. 1, 2) and the vertebral body, the lamina and the right pedicle of the C5 vertebra (fig. 1, 2), without changes in the axis of the cervical spine. Radiography of the right anterbrate shows a fracture without displacement of the right radial styloid. The patient is hemodynamically and cardio-respiratory stable on presentation, with BP = 130/70 mmHg, AV = 70 bpm and SpO2 = 100%.

Immobilization in Philadelphia collar and orthopedic treatment with immobilization in the right antebrachio-palmar cast is performed with radiographic control before (fig. 3.A, 3.B) and after reduction (fig. 4.A, 4.B). The favorable clinical evolution after a week of rest and antialgic, anti-inflammatory and anticoagulant treatment allows the patient to be discharged and to start the therapy with Super Inductive System at the Balneal and Rehabilitation Sanatorium of Techirghiol. There are 12 SIS therapy sessions divided into 3 sessions per week, within 4 weeks, using the A-1014 program. After the last SIS session, a right forearm control radiograph is performed, which shows a very good paraclinical evolution with the total callus of the fracture line of the distal epiphysis of the radius (fig. 5.A, 5.B).

Results and discussions. The causes of fractures of the distal radius epiphysis are represented by severe traumas, including in the context of polytraumas in the active population or by minimal traumas in the elderly population in the context of osteoporotic pathology (4). Distal radius epiphyseal fractures represent approximately 12% of total upper limb fractures, being the most common types of fractures in this segment (5).
The treatment of fractures of the distal radius epiphysis can be surgical or orthopedic, considering the type of fracture (linear or comminutive, with or without displacement), the associated injuries (in the context of polytraumas) and the patient's comorbidities (5,9). Adjuvant physiotherapy treatment is performed after treating acute lesions and clinical and biological stabilization of the patient, but preferably as early as posttraumatic, to increase the effectiveness of treatment (4,6). Physiotherapy in radius fractures may consist of therapy with SIS (Super Inductive System – fig. 6), which is a treatment divided into 3 weekly sessions, up to a total of 15 sessions. The center of the applicator is positioned above the affected area at a minimum tissue distance. The recommended intensity during the operation is above the sensitivity threshold, up to the motor threshold. (10). The evolution is followed clinically and imagistically, performing a forearm radiograph at the end of the physiotherapy sessions. The presence of the cast is not a contraindication to the use of SIS therapy, its effectiveness not being affected (10).

Fig 4- Left forearm radiograph, after orthopedic reduction of the distal radius epiphysis fracture, lateral incidence (A) and antero-posterior incidence (B).

Fig 5- X-ray of the left forearm, at 5 weeks , lateral incidence (A) and antero-posterior incidence (B).

Fig 6- Super Inductive System (personal archive)

Conclusions
The mechanism of fracture of the distal epiphysis of the radius was by the hyperextension of the hand on the forearm, by supporting it on the car board, at the moment of impact. The type of fracture of the radial epiphysis (linear fracture without displacement), the absence of comorbidities, the young age and the indication of orthopedic treatment with immobilization in the antebrachio-palmar cast, made possible the subsequent physiotherapy. A favorable factor in the patient's evolution was the absence of post-traumatic mielie lesions, secondary to C4 and C5 fractures, with the absence of neurological deficits at hospitalization (Frankel "E" score), which allowed shortening the hospitalization period and early recovery by SIS therapy. The young age and the patient's compliance at the treatment (avoiding traumas, maintaining the prone position of the forearm and maintaining the integrity and functionality of the cast) led to a good imaging evolution with complete callus to the control radiograph at 5 weeks. The clinical evolution was favorably influenced by the symptomatic treatment, by the therapy with Super Inductive System and by the methods of reducing the edema (prone position and ice applications), by remitting the pain symptoms produced by the post-traumatic edema. Electrotherapy using new innovative methods such high intensity electromagnetic field, and other methods (13-16) can contribute in future development of the rehabilitation programs for many pathologies.
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