The influence of low back pain on health – related quality of life and the impact of balneal treatment
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Abstract

Introduction. Low back pain has a direct and proportional impact on function and a general one on the quality of life. The present study aims to evaluate the functional impact of low back pain using specific self-assessment tools as indexes of appreciation and epidemiological correlations of potential risk factors involved. The conceptual model of our research is based on the importance of correlating symptoms with clinical assessment, using scales of pain, disability, quality of life, and determination of epidemiological correlations of these areas and the determined factors of the pathology.

Material and method. The study group is made up of 106 cases with clinical diagnostic of low back pain, admitted from 28 September 2020 to 28 March 2021, at Balneal and Rehabilitation Sanatorium of Techirghiol. After performing anamnensis, general clinical examination, specific neuro-musculo-skeletal examination, the patients filled the surveys highlighting the impact of their low back pain on functionality and disability deriving from it. The survey included the Oswestry Disability Index, the Functional Independence Measure (FIM) instrument and the Visual analog scale (VAS) score evaluated at the moment of hospitalization and at discharge. Statistical analysis of data was carried out and correlations between variables resulting from study were highlighted. The study was conducted according to the norms of deontology and medical ethics. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Results and discussions. Lumbar pathology is common in patients who are hospitalized for a complex balneary-physical-kinetic treatment at Balneal and Rehabilitation Sanatorium of Techirghiol. About 80% of patients who have addressed to our unit in which the study was conducted, have presented low back pain. The majority of patients were females, representing 57.55% of the total number. Regarding the patients’ age, 58.5% of them were in the 50-70 years interval. The study reveals a major positive impact of our treatment on spinal symptomatology, an effect pointed out by the relevant statistical differences between the admittance and discharge VAS scores(p<0.001). Reporting the investigated disability with the Oswestry questionnaire of painful impact of our treatment on spinal symptomatology, an effect pointed out by the relevant statistical differences between the admittance and discharge VAS scores(p<0.001). Reporting the investigated disability with the Oswestry questionnaire of painful impact of our treatment on spinal symptomatology, an effect pointed out by the relevant statistical differences between the admittance and discharge VAS scores(p<0.001).

Conclusions. The study reveals the importance of correlation of the data obtained from anamnensis, the general clinical examination and the specific examination neuroradioarthrokinetic with assessment tools that determine the level of functional independence, the functional impact on social life in high-frequency pathologies treated in medical facilities that provide healthcare in the field of medical recovery. It is necessary to quantify the therapeutic results obtained, in order to assess the level of improvement in quality of life.
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INTRODUCTION

Low back pain is a very common symptom. It occurs in high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries and all age groups from children to the elderly population. Globally, years lived with disability caused by low back pain increased by 54% between 1990 and 2015, mainly because of population increase and ageing, with the biggest increase seen in low-income and middle-income countries (1-3). Low back pain is now the leading cause of disability worldwide.

Low back pain has a direct and proportional impact on function and a general one on the quality of life. The present study aims to evaluate the functional impact of low back pain using specific self-assessment tools as indexes of appreciation and epidemiological correlations of potential risk factors involved. The conceptual model of our research is based on the importance of correlating...
symptoms with clinical assessment, using scales of pain, disability, quality of life, and determination of epidemiological correlations of these areas and the determined factors of the pathology (4).

The Orthopedic Section of the American Physical Therapy Association (APTA) has an ongoing effort to create evidence-based practice guidelines for orthopedic physical therapy management of patients with musculoskeletal impairments described in the World Health Organization’s International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF).

**Material and method**

The study group is made up of 106 cases with clinical diagnostic of low back pain, admitted from 28 September 2020 to 28 March 2021, at Balneal and Rehabilitation Sanatorium of Techirghiol. After performing anamnesis, general clinical examination, specific neuro-musculoskeletal examination, the patients filled the surveys highlighting the impact of their low back pain on functionality and disability deriving from it. The survey included the Oswestry Disability Index, the Functional Independence Measure (FIM) instrument and the Visual analog scale (VAS) score evaluated at the moment of hospitalization and at discharge. Statistical analysis of data was carried out and correlations between variables resulting from study were highlighted.

The Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) has been developed to assess pain-related disability in people with acute, subacute, or chronic low back pain. Since it was first published in 1980, several different versions have been developed. The ODI covers 1 item on pain and 9 items on activities of daily living (personal care, lifting, walking, sitting, standing, sleeping, sex life, social life, and traveling), making a total of 10 items. The ODI has adequate content validity, as it covers activities of daily living that are commonly experienced by patients with back pain. However, it lacks generic activities such as work, leisure, recreation, or sporting activities. The ODI has high internal consistency, with Cronbach's alpha between 0.71 and 0.87. It correlates with other measures of disability, such as the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire (RDQ) and shows moderate correlation with pain scales and the Short Form. Administration of the ODI questionnaire over the phone has excellent test-retest reliability when compared to face-to-face administration. Telephone administration is a convenient and reliable option for obtaining follow-up outcomes data. Telephonic administration of the ODI is scientifically valid and should be an accepted method of data collection for state-level and national-level outcomes projects (5-7).

The Functional Independence Measure (FIM) was also developed to offer a uniform system of measurement for disability based on the International Classification of Impairment, Disabilities and Handicaps for use in the medical system in the United States (McDowell & Newell, 1996). The FIM assesses six areas of function (Self-care, Stool control, Transfers, Locomotion, Communication and Social cognition), which fall under two Domains (Motor and Cognitive). It has been tested for use in patients with stroke, traumatic brain injury, spinal cord injury, multiple sclerosis, and elderly individuals undergoing inpatient rehabilitation and has been used with children as young as 7 years old. The FIM consists of 18 items assessing 6 areas of function. The items fall into two domains: Motor (13 items) and Cognitive (5 items). The motor items are based on the items of the Barthel Index. These domains are referred to as the Motor-FIM and the Cognitive-FIM (8).

The patients admitted underwent specific rehabilitation treatment which included a wide range of therapies. All patients received balneotherapy with the use of the local natural sources – the mineral water of the lake Techirghiol and also peloid therapy using the sapropelic mud extracted from the bottom of the lake. Electrotherapy varied from low, medium and high frequency electrical currents. The patients also underwent kinetotherapy and kinesiotherapy with the assistance of specialized physiotherapists.

**Results**

Lumbar pathology is common in patients who are hospitalized for a complex balneal-physical-kinetic treatment at Balneal and Rehabilitation Sanatorium Techirghiol. The majority of patients were females, representing 57.55% of the total number. Regarding the patients’ age, 58.5% of them were in the 50-70 years interval.

**Table 1 – Total Score Oswestry Questionnaire - Spearman’s rho**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ODI Item</th>
<th>Spearman’s rho</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain intensity</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal care</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifting weights</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climbing</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathostasis</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual life</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social life</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Graph 1 – Age interval distribution**
Regarding the urban/rural distribution - 74.53% of the patients were from urban areas, perhaps also due to the easier accessibility to medical services, in general. The study reveals a major positive impact of our treatment on spinal symptomatology, an effect pointed out by the relevant statistical differences between the admittance and discharge VAS scores (p < 0.001).

There are significant differences between median VAS scores at admission and discharge (p < 0.001, Wilcoxon signed-rank test). Admission VAS scores were higher than discharge VAS scores. Reporting the investigated disability with the Oswestry questionnaire of painful lumbar syndrome, and functional evaluation scale (FIM) demonstrates the impact of this pathology on the patient's social life, once again emphasizing the special attention to be paid to axial pathology, both as curative treatment and the importance of prophylactic treatment. Statistical analysis of identified risk factors reveals the importance of prophylaxis and patient’s education in this area.

There are significant differences between the median values of the Oswestry scores corresponding to the three groups Walking [0-2]/[2-4]/[4-5] (p = 0 < 0.05, Independent Samples Median test). The distribution of scores Oswestry differed in the Walking [0-2]/[2-4]/[4-5] groups, scores Oswestry were higher in the Walking [4-5] group than in the Walking [0-2] and Walking [2-4] groups.

A strong and important statistical correlation was found between the Oswestry total score and the walking and standing items, and a moderate, but strong correlation with the other items. Regarding the sex life item, the correlation is evident, but at a modest level. There are significant differences between the median values of the Oswestry scores corresponding to the three groups Walking [0-2]/[2-4]/[4-5] (p = 0 < 0.05, Independent Samples Median test). The distribution of scores Oswestry differed in the Walking [0-2]/[2-4]/[4-5] groups, scores Oswestry were higher in the Walking [4-5] group than in the Walking [0-2] and Walking [2-4] groups.
Graph 6 - Box-Plot Representation of Oswestry Questionnaire Total Score Distribution and Standing
There are significant differences between median Oswestry values, corresponding to the two groups Sleep [0-2]/[2-4] (p = 0 <0,05, Independent Samples Median test).
The distribution of scores Oswestry differed in the two Sleep [0-2]/[2-4] groups, scores Oswestry were higher in the Sleep [2-4] group, with values ranging from 15-20, than in the Sleep [0-2] group, with values ranging from 6-17.

Graph 7 - Box-Plot Representation of Oswestry Questionnaire Total Score Distribution and Sleep
There are significant differences between median Oswestry values, corresponding to the two groups Sexual Life [0-2]/[2-4] (p = 0 <0,05, Independent Samples Median test).
The distribution of scores Oswestry is different in the two Sexual Life groups [0-2]/[2-4], scores Oswestry were higher in the Sexual Life [2-4] group, with values ranging from 18-28, than in the Sexual Life [0-2] group, with values ranging from 9-18.

Graph 8 - Box-Plot Representation of Oswestry Questionnaire Total Score Distribution and Sexual Life
There are significant differences between median values of the Oswestry scores corresponding to the three groups Social Life [0-2]/[2-4]/[4-5] (p = 0 <0,05, Independent Samples Median test).
The distribution of scores Oswestry is different in the three Social Life groups [0-2]/[2-4]/[4-5], the Oswestry scores were higher in the Social Life [4-5] group than in the Social Life [0-2] and Social Life [2-4] groups.

Graph 9 - Box-Plot Representation of Oswestry Questionnaire Total Score Distribution and Social Life
There are significant differences between median Oswestry values, corresponding to the two groups Travels [0-2]/[2-4] (p = 0 <0,05, Independent Samples Median test).
The distribution of scores Oswestry differed in the two Travels [0-2]/[2-4] groups, scores Oswestry were higher in the Travels [2-4] group, with values ranging from 15-23, than in the Travels [0-2] group, with values ranging from 5-15.
Graph 10 - Box-Plot representation of the distribution of the Oswestry Questionnaire Total Score and Travels

There are significant differences between median Oswestry values, corresponding to the two groups Pain intensity [0-2]/[2-4] (p = 0.001 <0.05, Independent Samples Median test).

The distribution of Oswestry scores differed in the two Pain intensity [0-2]/[2-4]) groups (p <0.001, Independent Samples Mann-Whitney U test), Oswestry scores were higher in the Pain intensity [2-4]) group than in the Pain intensity [0-2]) group.

Graph 11 - Box-Plot representation of the distribution of Oswestry Total Score Questionnaire and pain intensity

Discussions

The results show a clear predominance of low back pain in female patients as opposed to males, which needs to be addressed if it has true gender preponderance or is given by a population disbalance in favor of more females than males (4,9-12). As we can see, the age distribution is mostly in late adulthood and early elderhood, but nevertheless the balance is towards more advanced age, clearly suggesting a degenerative problem (13). Almost three quarters of the patients come from urban setting, which is due most likely to the access to medical services, as opposed to rural population who, by the nature of rural lifestyle, should have a distribution in accordance with rural/urban general distribution. During the hospitalization period, with the help of the specific rehabilitation therapies, we observed a clear reduction of the algic syndrome, a thing that was reported by all patients by the means of the common VAS pain score. As the pain subsided, the general mobility has improved, with a direct influence over the overall functionality (14), as shown by the functional evaluation scale, indicating that the balneotherapy and the usage of physical therapy might be useful as a prophylactic treatment, not only as curative treatment (15,16).

After analyzing the distribution of the total Oswestry score and comparing it with each individual item, we observe that low back pain affects proportionally each aspect of the patient life. Similar distributions of the patients in 3 groups were found for the walking, standing, lying down and social life, and in 2 groups for sleeping, sexual life, travelling, and pain intensity. We know that a cause of insomnia is chronic pain, and we observed an improvement of the quality in sleep as we managed to decrees the pain felt in LBP syndrome (17,18), and it should be monitored on a longer period of time to verify if it is a consistent improvement. We should take into consideration the specific socio-economical factors of the Romanian nationality patients when analyzing some aspects of the everyday life such as sexual life (19) which has shown a clear improvement, but still with a notable dissatisfaction (from social viewpoint, as there is certain stigma about this essential aspect of life) and travelling (from the economical perspective, is quite prohibitive), which also showed a statistically relevant improvement(20). The clearest affliction is observed at patients in standing position, where they felt it has the greatest impact of the overall quality of life in comparison with all the other aspects of life (21). We can also see that the patients had a more direct approach when it comes the pain intensity with either considering the pain to be a big problem or a small nuisance that they could live with it, aspects shown in many other clinical studies from this field (22-26).

Conclusions

The study reveals the importance of correlation of the data obtained clinical exam with self-assessment tools, that determine the level of functional independence and the functional impact on social life. It is necessary to quantify the therapeutic results obtained, in order to assess the level of improvement in quality of life. Low back pain (LBP) is the second leading cause of disability in the world. The level of disability in patients with LBP is an important outcome measure for clinical practice and research (27,28). The Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) is one of the most commonly used scales that assess the disability related to LBP.
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